

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/508,997	09/508,997 06/27/2000		TOSHIO MIYATA	SHIM-004	5507
24353	7590	05/19/2003		·	
BOZICEV	IC, FIEL	D & FRANCIS LI	EXAMINER		
200 MIDD SUITE 200	LEFIELD 1		TURNER, SHARON L		
MENLO P.	O PARK, CA 94025			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	
				DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		MIYATA, TOSHIO					
Office Action Summary	09/508,997	Art Unit					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1647					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication an	Sharon L. Turner						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	November 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- election requirement						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and/o <b>Application Papers</b>	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disap						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

. Application/Control Number: 09/508,997

Art Unit: 1647

## Election/Restrictions

## Improper Markush

Prior to setting forth the restriction requirement, it is pointed out that applicants have presented instant claims in improper Markush format, see Ex parte Markush, 1925
 C.D. 126, In re Weber, 198 USPQ 334 and MPEP 803.02 and 806.04.

"Since the decisions in In re Weber \*\*,198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978); and In re Haas, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject matter in a claim lacks unity of invention, In re Harnish, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); Ex Parte Hozumi, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984). Broadly, unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility."

The claims are improperly set forth as the genus claims encompassing multiple distinct and peptides, as identified and claimed, which fail to share the characteristics of a genus, i.e., a common utility and a substantial structural feature essential to the disclosed utility. Alternatively, the claims define multiple structurally distinct compounds capable of different use, with different modes of operation, different function and different effects. A reference against one of the claimed components or methods would not be a reference against the other. Therefore, the restriction will be set forth for each of the various groups, irrespective of the improper format of the claims, because the claims define inventions which are not proper species.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

. Application/Control Number: 09/508,997

Art Unit: 1647

Ĭ,

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, 12 and 14 in part, drawn to the first special technical feature peptide (SEQ ID NO:2), first method of making and first appearing use of the peptide in an immunoassay method.

Group II, claim(s) 1-8, 12 and 14 in part, drawn to the second special technical feature peptide (SEQ ID NO:4) and first appearing use of the peptide in an immunoassay.

Group III, claim(s) 1-8, 12 and 14 in part, drawn to the third special technical feature peptide (SEQ ID NO:6) and first appearing use of the peptide in an immunoassay.

Group IV, claim(s) 9-11, 13 in part drawn to the fourth special technical feature antibody (binding SEQ ID NO:2).

Group V, claim(s) 9-11, 13 in part drawn to the fifth special technical feature antibody (binding SEQ ID NO:4).

Group VI, claim(s) 9-11, 13 in part drawn to the sixth special technical feature antibody (binding SEQ ID NO:6).

Group VII, claim(s) 15-17, drawn to the seventh special technical feature transgenic nonhuman vertebrate.

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the peptides, nucleic acids and antibodies differ in sequence structure, length, function, effects and capable use. The methods use different steps and different reagents corresponding to the distinct special technical features, and exhibit different effects, functions and outcomes.

Application/Control Number: 09/508,997

Art Unit: 1647

- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (703) 308-4623.

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. May 8, 2003

. GARY KUNZ **SUPERVISO**RY PATENT EXA

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600